

† Work assisted by a grant supplied by the National Aeronautics and Space Agency.

¹ See, e.g., T. Kasuya, *Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Kyoto)* **16**, 58 (1956); **22**, 227 (1959); P. G. deGennes and J. Friedel, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **4**, 71 (1958); P. G. deGennes, *J. Phys. Radium* **23**, 510 (1962).

² See A. J. Dekker, *J. Appl. Phys.* **36**, 906 (1965), for a review of this problem.

³ See, e.g., Ref. 7.

⁴ W. E. Wallace, R. S. Craig, A. Thompson, C. Deenadas, M. Dixon, M. Aoyagi, and N. Marzouk, *The Rare-Earth Elements* (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1970), p. 427.

⁵ T. van Peski-Tinbergen and A. J. Dekker, *Physica* **29**, 917 (1963).

⁶ K. R. Lea, M. J. M. Leask, and W. P. Wolf, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **23**, 1381 (1962).

⁷ H. J. van Daal and K. H. J. Buschow, *Solid State Commun.* **7**, 217 (1969).

⁸ K. H. J. Buschow and H. J. van Daal, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **23**, 408 (1969).

⁹ B. D. Rainford, D. Phil. thesis, Oxford, 1969 (unpublished), quoted in Ref. 10.

¹⁰ R. W. Hill and J. M. Machado da Silva, *Phys. Letters* **30A**, 13 (1969).

Generalization of Conditions due to Domb for Reducing the Number of Unknown Terms in the Ising Fugacity Expansion*

M. FERER†

Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801

(Received 4 May 1970)

The derivation of low-temperature series for the Ising model is simplified by a high-temperature symmetry condition, a general proof of which does not exist in the open literature. The magnetic linked-cluster expansion provides an elementary and general proof.

BACKGROUND

We present the proof in detail for the free energy F of the nearest-neighbor $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Ising model. The straightforward generalization to $S > \frac{1}{2}$, longer-range interactions, and physical quantities other than F is indicated in conclusion. The Hamiltonian of the model is

$$-\beta\mathcal{H} = v \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j + h \sum_i \sigma_i, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma_i = 1 (-1)$ for spin up (down), the indices range over lattice sites, and the sum in the first term is over nearest-neighbor pairs. The free energy is given by $-\beta F = \ln \text{Tr} e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}}$.

At low temperatures (1) can usefully be rewritten in terms of operators measuring the deviation from complete alignment, $n_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_i)$,

$$-\beta\mathcal{H} = N(\frac{1}{2}qv + h) + 4v \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} n_i n_j - 2(h + qv) \sum_i n_i, \quad (2)$$

where q is the number of nearest neighbors. The low-temperature (high-field) series for F is¹ just the Yvon-Mayer expansion with chemical potential $-2(h + qv)$. The free energy can be written as

$$-\frac{\beta F}{N} = \frac{1}{2}qv + h + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^n L_n(u), \quad (3)$$

where $\mu = e^{-2h}$, $u = e^{-4v}$, and the $L_n(u)$ are finite polynomials² in u ,

$$L_n(u) = u^{nq/2} \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} [n, r] u^{-r}.$$

The coefficients $[n, r]$ may be determined from the Mayer graphs. The quantity $(1-u)$ is a high-temperature variable, so high-temperature series can be derived from (3),

$$-\frac{\beta F}{N} = \frac{1}{2}qv + h + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \mu^n \times \frac{(1-u)^r}{r!} \left. \frac{\partial^r L_n(u)}{\partial u^r} \right|_{u=1}. \quad (4)$$

SYMMETRY CONDITION AND ITS USE

It is easy to see from (1) that the free energy F has the symmetry $F(v, h) = F(v, -h)$. This symmetry, which is explicit in the high-temperature series, is lost at low temperatures, where series converge only for $\mu \leq 1$. The high-temperature symmetry condition is

$$-\frac{\beta F}{N} = \frac{1}{2}qv + h + \ln(1+\mu) + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (1-u)^r \frac{\varphi_r(\mu)}{(1+\mu)^{2r}}, \quad (5)$$

with the specification that

$$\varphi_r(\mu) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{2r-1} \varphi_r^{(n)} \mu^n$$

is a finite polynomial having the symmetry $\varphi_r(\mu) = \mu^{2r} \varphi_r(1/\mu)$. Note that this incorporates invariance under $h \leftrightarrow -h$. Equation (5) was first conjectured by Domb³ and has subsequently been proved for various cases.⁴ To our knowledge there is no proof in the open literature which is applicable to close-packed lattices and spins greater than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Equation (5) allows information about the symmetry to be incorporated into the low-temperature series: Knowledge of the first $(s-1)$ L_n 's determines the φ_r 's through φ_{s-1} . This information [via (4)] puts s conditions on $L_s(\mu)$, thus reducing the number of coefficients $[s, r]$ which must be independently computed, a labor-saving device of considerable practical importance.²

PROOF

The linked-cluster theorem allows the free energy to be expanded at high temperatures,

$$-\frac{\beta(F-F_0)}{N} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(h) v^n, \quad (6)$$

where the n th-order coefficient $C_n(h)$ is the sum of contributions from all n -line graphs. F_0 is the free energy when $v \equiv 0$,

$$-\beta F_0/N = \ln(\mu^{1/2} + \mu^{-1/2}) = h + \ln(1+\mu), \quad (7)$$

and it is useful to introduce the semi-invariants

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^0(\mu) &\equiv (d^n/dh^n)(-\beta F_0/N) \\ &= (-1)^n M_n^0(1/\mu) \\ &\equiv p_n(\mu)/(1+\mu)^n, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$p_n(\mu) \equiv \sum_{r=0}^n p_n(r) \mu^r = (-1)^n \mu^n p_n(1/\mu)$$

and

$$p_n^{(0)} = p_n^{(n)} = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 1.$$

Each graph G_n in the expansion for $C_n(h)$ carries⁵ a factor

$$X[G_n, \mu] = \prod_{G_n} M_s^0$$

consisting of one factor of M_s^0 for each vertex in G_n with s impinging lines, which expresses the entire magnetic field dependence of G_n . There are $2n$ line ends in G_n , so it is clear that $X[G_n, \mu]$ and, therefore, $C_n(h)$ have $(1+\mu)^{2n}$ as denominator and the symmetrical numerator required. The term $\frac{1}{2}qv$ appearing in (4) and (5) comes from the contribution to $C_1(h)$ of the graph consisting of a single bond. We have now shown that

$$-\frac{\beta F}{N} = \frac{1}{2}qv + h + \ln(1+\mu) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v^n \frac{\Phi_n(\mu)}{(1+\mu)^{2n}}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Phi_n(\mu) = \sum_{r=1}^{2n-1} \Phi_n^{(r)} \mu^r = \mu^{2n} \Phi_n(1/\mu). \quad (10)$$

Finally, $u = e^{-4v}$ can be inverted, giving v as a power series in $(1-u)$, so (9) implies (5).⁶

The proof depended only on the graph weights [via the simple properties (8) of the semi-invariants] and not on their embeddings. It is therefore lattice independent.

GENERALIZATIONS

Generalization to higher-spin and longer-range interactions is straightforward. To treat a spin variable ranging $\sigma = 2S, 2S-2, \dots, -2S$, one must in (7)–(9) replace the combination $(1+\mu)$ by

$$Z_0(S) = \sum_{n=0}^{2S} \mu^n.$$

Equation (9) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta F/N &= (2S)^{1/2} qv + 2Sh + \ln Z_0(S) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v^n \frac{s\Phi_n(\mu)}{Z_0^{2n}(S)}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the polynomial

$$s\Phi_n(\mu) = \sum_{r=1}^{4nS-1} s\Phi_n^{(r)} \mu^r = \mu^{4nS} s\Phi_n(1/\mu).$$

The corresponding generalization of (5) is immediate.

The semi-invariants M_n^0 are interaction independent, so, when longer-range interactions are present (e.g., a second-neighbor interaction w), the generalization of (9) is

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta F/N &= \frac{1}{2}(q_1v + q_2w) + h + \ln(1+\mu) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n,m; n+m \geq 1}^{\infty} v^n w^m \frac{\Phi_{n,m}(\mu)}{(1+\mu)^{2(n+m)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\Phi_{n,m}(\mu) = \sum_{r=1}^{2(n+m)-1} \Phi_{n,m}^{(r)} \mu^r = \mu^{2(n+m)} \Phi_{n,m}(1/\mu),$$

and q_1 and q_2 are the number of nearest and next nearest neighbors, respectively.

Equivalent expressions for other thermodynamic and correlation functions may be written down by inspection from the linked-cluster expansion.

* Research supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office (Durham) under Contract No. DA-HC04-69-C-0007.

† E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Co. Industrial Fellow.

¹ C. Domb, *Advan. Phys.* **9**, 149 (1960).

² M. F. Sykes, J. W. Essam, and D. S. Gaunt, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 283 (1965).

³ C. Domb, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **A199**, 199 (1949).

⁴ A proof applicable to the loose-packed lattices is given in A. J. Wakefield, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **47**, 419 (1951); a proof, independent of lattice, for spin $\frac{1}{2}$ is given in Ref. 1, p. 338; a proof for close-packed lattices and its generalization to arbitrary

spin is given in M. F. Sykes, thesis, Oxford University, 1956 (unpublished); and the generalization to second-neighbor interaction is given in C. Domb and R. B. Potts, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **A210**, 125 (1951).

⁵ F. Englert, *Phys. Rev.* **129**, 567 (1963); C. Bloch and J. S. Langer, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 554 (1965); David Jasnow and Michael Wortis, *ibid.* **8**, 507 (1967); Michael Wortis, David Jasnow, and M. A. Moore, *Phys. Rev.* **185**, 805 (1969).

⁶ Inverting $x = \tanh(v)$, one may obtain a series in x for the free energy of the same form as Eqs. (9) and (5).